UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,774	08/25/2006	Ralf Neumann	WK-5391	2467
	7590 02/23/201 & MALUR, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1800 DIAGON.		PARSLEY, DAVID J		
SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/590,774	NEUMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DAVID J. PARSLEY	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOī w);	ΓE below);				
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						
	/David J Parsley/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3643				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the addition of the limitations "...said threading element being bent laterallyl from the counter-surface in the horizontal transport plate at a horizontal angle...whereby an outer end of the threading element extends at least partially across and in said horizontal transport plane..." in claim 1, the addition of the limitations "...the threading element...has an elongated shape rounded at said outer end in said horizontal transport plane..." in claim 4, the addition of the limitations "...the threading element is constructed as swivellable from a position outside ofsaid transport element to a position over said transport element independently with respect to said transport element..." in claim 6, the addition of the limitations "...the deflector element is arranged behind the counter-surface in the direction of transport..." in claim 9, and the addition of the limitations "...the threading element being bent laterally from the counter-surface in the horizontal transport plane at a horizontal angle with respect to the counter-surface..." in claim 10 each raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.